Ethibit 9

1	JOHN W. KEKER - #49092	
2	MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913	
3	710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704	
4	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
5	DERWIN & SIEGEL DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407	
6	3280 Alpine Road	
7	Portola Valley, CA 94028	
8	Telephone: (650)529-8700 Facsimile: (650) 529-8799	
9	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION MARK SCADINA - #173103	
10	JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive	
11	Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100	
12	Facsimile: (408) 855-0144	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17		
18	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)
19	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA
20	Plaintiff,	PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT
21	v.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT
22	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25	AND COUNTER ACTION.	
26		I
27		
28		
1		

up at the Case Management Conference hearing set for February 13, or such other prehearing conference as the Court may wish to schedule. Substantive argument on these issues is set forth in the Joint Case Management Conference Statement filed concurrently herewith.

- A. Issues upon which the parties agree:
 - 1. Live expert testimony should not be presented. Each party will undertake its best efforts to have its above-designated expert(s) present at the hearing to respond to questions from the Court.
 - 2. Each party will undertake its best efforts to have its declarants available for deposition within one week of submitting Claim Construction or indefiniteness summary judgment declarations.
 - 3. Normal briefing page limits should be doubled for the Claim Construction briefs.
 - 4. There will be no post-hearing briefing, except at the request of the Court.
- B. Issues which the parties agree should be taken up at the Case Management Conference, but as to which the parties do not agree on substance:
 - 1. The number of claim construction briefs to be filed by the parties.
 - 2. Format of the Claim Construction Hearing.
 - a. Whether the parties should present tutorials, and, if so, the length and format of such a tutorial.
 - b. Whether the parties should present a non-tutorial opening statement.
 - c. The format and ordering of substantive argument on disputed claim language.
 - d. Whether the currently scheduled Mini-Markman proceeding should be devoted to all of the disputed terms and phrases from the 12 selected patent claims, or a subset.